This letter on the Post's editorial approving the reversal of Judge Hoffman in the Chicago Conspiracy Case, the editorial and all comment I've seen lose sight of the central fact, which is not from the administration's point of view the cost or the freeing of the defendants but the law it was seeking to validate. Thus this was not a defeat for the administration of those seeking to drive the country into a more authoritarian stance. It was for them a vert real victory. This law was ruled Constitutional, and that was their real objective. It is the objective of the law, too. That is why these defendants were selected rather than, say, bombthrowers, for the initial test of the law. Pretty much the same seems to be true in the Ellsberg case. Except for a few vindictive ones, I don't think anyone really has as his real objective putting Ellsberg and Russo in jail. And in all of the turmoil since the cae of The Pentagon Papers got before the first grand jury, nobody mentions that it has been followed by a whittling away of the traditional rights of the press by Nixonite prosecutors and Nixonite judges whose ultimate judges with be the Nixonian Supreme Court. All of this is repression the objective of which is a more authoritarian society. HW 12.13.72